

M24GschC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
-----x

3 THOMAS SCHANSMAN, et al.,

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

19 Civ. 2985 (ALC)

6 SBERBANK OF RUSSIA PJSC, et  
7 al.,

Remote Conference

8 Defendants.  
9 -----x

New York, N.Y.  
February 4, 2022  
2:00 p.m.

10  
11 Before:

12 HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.,

13 District Judge

14 APPEARANCES

15 JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
17 BY: TERRI L. MASCHERIN

18 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP (NYC)  
Attorneys for Defendant Sberbank of Russia PJSC  
19 BY: WILLIAM H. TAFT

20 WHITE & CASE  
Attorneys for Defendant Sberbank of Russia PJSC  
21 BY: CLAIRE A. DELELLE  
NICOLE ERB

22 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
Attorneys for Western Union defendants  
23 BY: TIMOTHY J. TREANOR  
MELISSA COLON-BOSOLET  
24 HILLE R. SHEPPARD  
25

M24GschC

1 APPEARANCES (continued)

2  
3 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

Attorneys for Moneygram defendants

4 BY: AMY MCKINLEY

ALLISON EISEN

5 CHRISTOPHER N. MANNING

6 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Attorneys for Defendant VTB Bank

7 BY: CHRISTOPHER R. HARRIS

M24GschC

(The Court and all parties present remotely)

(Case called; appearances noted)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is a conference regarding defendant Sberbank and plaintiffs' competing proposals for jurisdictional discovery in connection with Sberbank's second motion to dismiss.

I have reviewed the parties' joint status report outlining their positions. The submission is clear enough; therefore, I don't see a need to have you repeat the arguments in those submissions today.

After reviewing the submissions, I am concerned about the, at this point, murky nature of plaintiffs' discovery proposal. I'm therefore inclined to do this: Let's have Sberbank produce the documents identified in its proposed plan at pages 15 through 17 of the joint status report, and then have plaintiffs submit a revised discovery plan, not to exceed three pages indicating whether any additional jurisdictional discovery is necessary, and if so, outlining what specific facts crucial to an immanity determination remain at issue and what specific category of facts and/or expert evidence they seek that would prove those specific facts.

Plaintiffs should aim for a discovery plan that concludes no later than mid March 2022. The plaintiffs should submit their discovery plan by February 18th, 2022.

Sberbank should respond with any opposition to that

M24GschC

1 plan by February 23rd, 2022. And Sberbank should turn over  
2 those documents by Monday, February the 7th.

3 Plaintiffs' counsel, what's your position on that?

4 MS. MASCHERIN: I understand your ruling, your Honor.  
5 We do believe that there will be additional factual issues, but  
6 we look forward to seeing the documents, and we will submit a  
7 plan in accordance with the schedule that you have directed.

8 THE COURT: Anything else from defendant, Sberbank, on  
9 this?

10 MS. ERB: No, your Honor. Understood.

11 THE COURT: Anything else from any other party today?

12 (Pause)

13 THE COURT: I haven't heard anything.

14 We are adjourned. Thank you.

15 (Adjourned)  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25